

The evidence in this case shows clearly that this student is not a resident of East Providence for school purposes. This conclusion is supported by the testimony of the East Providence Attendance Officer and by documentary evidence.

This matter was continued a number of times at the request of the respondent. She has not appeared to defend this matter.

CONCLUSION

This student is not a resident of East Providence for school purposes. We request East Providence to work cooperatively with Barrington to effect an orderly transition of responsibility for the education of this student.



Forrest L. Avila
Hearing Officer

Approved:


Peter McWalters, Commissioner

May 4, 1993
Date